G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous reference

to 260-13 (1-3)
" 270-13 (1-3)
" 160-15 (1-2)
" 97-16 (1-2)
" 2-18 (1-5)

Later references.

" 149-19 (1-5)
186-21 (1-3)

1920
(to)

File No. 99-20

Serial Nos. 1-10.

Preserve
M. 19/1/43

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Ten

Part B for July 1921

SUBJECT

Revision of the establishment of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tuticorin.

8(10) To The Comptroller to Govt
The Registrar, Chief Court
No: J 1345 G.O. 8.7.21

Sanctions the continuance of the establishm
with effect from the date of its termin
from 25th July 1920 as long as the Munsiff
Court at Tuticorin is continued.

WD 788—GPB—5,000—7-11-19

gm
4/7.

G. R. S. 11) 1920.

7221

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 99-20

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the establishment of the Second Munsiffs Court at Dumka.

From

The Comptroller to the Government.

No. Books 573 Dated 27th Sep 1920 Received on 29. 9. 20

Diary No. 7221	Enclos. 3 Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards verified the proposition statement in duplicate relating to the revision of the Second Munsiff's Court at Dumka together with the letter from the Registrar, Chief Court of Appeal stating that there is no margin for any additional expenditure.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

M

A copy of the Go. sanctioning the existing Scale of the establishment of the Subordinate Civil Courts is put up. It is also proposed that the Second Court at Dumka must be placed on a permanent footing since the work (statistics given) is increasing there. The Jurisdiction of the 2 Courts is suggested to be allotted as follows,

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 99 of 1920.

7221 (2)

Serial No.

		G. S.	S. C.
First Court:-			
Tumkur		553	+ 401
Kunigal		242	+ 103
Hobblies. Chitanahalli, Kallin kadaba, Gubbi	Gubbi Taluk	307	+ 169
		1102 - ~~1775~~	- 673
Second Court:-		1775	
Tiptur		375	+ 157
Chikanaikanhalli		170	- - 84
Turuvekere		94	- - - 40
		639	- - - 281
			920
Hobblis Dandinaswara, Nittoor, Hagalvadi, Chelur	Gubbi Taluk.		

2) To equalise the work between the two Courts as far as possible, it is proposed that some of the hobblis of the Gubbi Taluk must be brought under the jurisdiction of the 1st & some under the Second as noted above, instead of allowing the whole of the Gubbi Taluk under any one Court.

Subd. for orders.

3) While recommending the continuance 8.10.20 of the temporary Court at Tumkur for two years more in Feb[y] last, the Chief Court stated that the question of retaining the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

7221

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 99 of 1920.

Serial No.

9) It is undesirable to make permanent, ~~temporary~~ establishments which are now temporary as measures of retrenchment are under the consideration of Govt. The Court may continue on the temporary footing for some time longer -

18.11.20

(Discussed)

18
29.11

10) Submitted with compliments of the Hon'ble Secy.

D. M.
22/11

3333
27.11.20

III Member

11) There is no doubt that there is a necessity for the continuance of two Courts at Tumkur. The number of institutions is large and is increasing every year. The two Munsifs sanctioned there are not able to cope with the current work and the number of pending cases is large. There is no question of retrenchment in this case and the additional Court cannot be ~~established~~ abolished. The question is how long it is to continue on a temporary footing. The disadvantage of the present system is that each of the Munsifs has to dispose of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

post about half the work for the Courts and does not show a disposition to work hard and clear off the arrears. There is due to Mr. Patel that there is no proper responsibility for the Municipal Accounts and proper distribution of territorial jurisdiction. When that jurisdiction is determined as proposed by the Chief Court, each will be responsible to dispose of all business that may be filed in his Court. I am therefore in favour of the proposal of the Chief Court to make the additional Court permanent. As regards the cost, an increase of Rs 5065 a year, the Judiciary thinks, is considered much but on account of the financial stringency, we have to avoid many expenditure which is not urgently needed. The present additional Court may be run on a temporary basis for some time more & we may take up the question of making it permanent when our finances improve. In the meantime, the Chief Court may be asked to see that the reforms effects are made by the Municipalities & the arrears which are accumulating

Note—Contn. sheet.

Date
3/12 Date

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 88 of 1920.

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Recd. on DEC 6 1920
No. 4039

12) may perhaps be submitted to the Dewan
D.M. 6/12

Revised
Dewan) 6.12.20

13) I concur in the recommendation to make the additional and permanent grant. It can be brought to the notice of the new members at least two years hence i.e. say in 1922. In the meantime, the question of the grant to the Chief Court may be allowed.

9.12.20

14) Office please
D.M. 10/12

15) Draft put up. for approval
18/12/20. Revised
III Member.
D.M. 20/12

3783
22.12.20.

16) If we distribute the jurisdiction between the two Courts as proposed we will have to make
D.M. 21/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

establishment to each of the Courts. Works, plaints, petitions &c. are received in the Principal Court because it exercises jurisdiction over the whole area, but if the jurisdiction of the additional Courts is separately fixed, the Principal Munsif Court will have no jurisdiction to entertain the plaints &c. pertaining to the two Courts. If separate establishments is not intended the Munsif's duties in question & receiving the additional Munsifs' Court, permanent bench, though there is no doubt that is sufficient & necessary and it will have to be made permanent at once otherwise there is no room as mentioned therein.

4th 24/12

17) Please submit the file again 24/12
to the Dewan Sd

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Read. on DEC 27 1920
Reg. No. 4416.

Submitted for orders.
Dewan 25/12

18) Dewan Sd
Sanction is accordingly accorded, separate Est. for each of the two Courts may be issued but they will be of temporary

30.12.20

31.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

19) Sylhet: A permanent establishment of 13 hands was sanctioned for the Munsiffs Court at Sunamganj in G.O. No. J. 469-70 Cts 2-70-13-305-8-1[illegible]
When an additional Court was established in Sunamganj, a temporary additional establishment of 1 Clerk and 1 Mohurir was sanctioned in G.O. No. J. 3023-6 Cts 188-14-206-3-16
When the same Court was continued another 6 hands were sanctioned temporarily in G.O. No. J. 236-7 Cts 269-18-4 to 15-7-16 and No. J. 7680-10 Cts 2-18-4 to 25-10-18. Thus taking in all there are 20 hands for both the permanent and temporary Courts.

The Chief Court suggest in their letter that if the two Courts were redistributed the both the Courts may be made Second class Courts with an establishment of 11 hands each in view of the fact that a separate establishment has to be sanctioned for the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C&S' File No. 99 of 1920

Serial No.

Should Municipal Court, it is a point for orders (1) whether the establishment of the permanent Court should not be reduced and (2) what establishment has to be sanctioned for the Second Court as there are already 9 hands for it.

Pgs 8/1/21 Asst 8/1

24) Two more hands may perhaps be sanctd to make up 22 hands in all for the two courts as a temporary measure. It seems to be unnecessary at present to state in the order whether the Courts will be treated as first or second class. If this view is accepted, the above questions may not arise.

Subd for orders

D.M. 8/1

III Member -

4073 12.1.21

22) The Financial Secretary may be consulted as to the [illegible]

[illegible] SECRETARY 16-10-20 [illegible]

Ans 11/1/21

23) In favour of remarks

Dy Secy Finance Dept can point to no source from which any credit Charges

24)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 65 File No. 99 of 1920

Serial No.

Notes

825/1

25) Subd for orders.
The two hand may perhaps be sanctd temporarily from 1.2.192 due provision being made therefor in the Budget.

D. M. 8/2

21600/9-2-21

26) III Member Papu

14/2 [illegible]

D. M. 16/2

Final

27) Subd. The draft already put up has been suitably amended for approval.

Rs 88 17/2/21

28) The pay to be given to the two clerks has to be mentioned in para 3 of the draft.

21/2

29) Draft G.O. subd for appd

D. M. 22/2

Revised 30) Shown to the Secty. and submitted into clean draft to 5th member

[illegible] 22.2.21

D. M. 23/2

Fin Secy

Hsey

23/2

7(1884-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2747 16/4/

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cl8 File No. 99 of 1920.

Serial No.

31) I can not understand how provision can be made for the contractual change in the next years budget - No such addition is possible.

~~Treasury approved draft~~

Prepare draft

28.2.21

32) Edited with a typed draft, forwarded

Pss 8/3/21

33) If no provision can be made in the budget as mentioned in para 4 of the draft, the only alternative is not to sanction the two additional hands, unless the Chief Court are prepared to meet the cost by reduction under another head.

Apr 9/3

34) Submit for orders

Revised 35) The Chief Court will have to be consulted on this point. — — — 10.3.21.

D.M. 10/3

3rd member

5237 10-3-21

D.M. 10/3

36) Pss.

12/3/21

37) Draft: please

D.M. 14/3

Cl5 14/3

38) Draft put up.

5578 28/III/21

Pss 22/3/21

Apr 22/3

39) For appr

3rd Member (40) D.M. 28/3

D.M. 30/3 (41) Some

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(12

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cls File No. 99 of 1920.

Serial No.

2. To

The Registrar, Chief Court

No. G. 18460 Dt 1-4-21.

Enquires whether the cost of the additional establishment proposed can be met out of the existing budget grant by reduction under some other head as it is not possible to allot additional funds for the purpose in the next year's budget.

From

The Registrar, Chief Court—

No. R.O.C. 180 Dt 9.4.21

With reference to the above Hdrs that—
it is not possible to indicate any head under which the grant—can be reduced or saved in order to meet—the additional expenditure
6 now [illegible] if funds cannot be found for meeting the extra cost the Continuance of the 2nd Munsiffs Court has to be sanctioned on the present—temporary basis till funds become available to meet the additional expenditure
Orders prayed.

Dt 20/4. (1) If it is decided not to sanction even the two temporary hands on Rs 20 each, the question of sanctioning separate jurisdiction for the two Courts perhaps requires reconsideration.

Dt 21/4 2nd Member (2) The question of separating the jurisdiction may be deferred till next year as previously

PROTECTION BRANCH. 14 APR 1921

6004 22.4.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

21411 (13)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 99 of 1920.

Serial No.

Cannot be made for extra expenditure in this budget for the current year.

(44) Memorandum to the Dewan 22/4

D. Mahadevaiya 22/4

Dewan

(45) I agree seen 23.4.21

(46) Draft reply D.M. to-day

Mr B.S. Rao

Dewan's Office. Recd. on APR 23 1921 Reg. No. 6662.

Noted.

(47) The additional establishment for the additional temporary munsiff's Court at Tumkur was sanctioned in G.O. No G 2264 13-14/ dts 24-3-19 & 3.4.19 till the 24th May 1920 Contiguous with the term of the Munsiff's Court. In G.O. no G 26312-3/Cts 164-19-3 & 20-5-1920 the term of the additional munsiff's Court was continued for a term of 2 years from 24th May 1920. But the term of the temporary establishment referred to in the G.O of 2nd April 1919 was not likewise continued.

(48) On the present proposal, the Registrar,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Q 1611

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cls File No. 99 of 1920.

Serial No.	
	Chief Court has shown these additional temporary staff whose term has expired by 25th May 1920, as existing scale. Their emplacements will be reported out-later on if approved
	49. Submitted with a draft circular reference to paragraph 46 above
	50) Draft approved and for appln
	Revised
	2nd member) 51) Approved
	52) Issued
4	To The Registrar, Chief Court. No. G. 22234 dt 23-5-21. States that on account of financial considerations, the question of the separation of the jurisdiction of the two munsiffs' Courts may be deferred till next year and adds that the proposals may be renewed 3 months before the expiry of the term of the temporary Munsiff's Court at Trankar

ISSUE & SUBMIT. 650-8-21 18-5-21

D. No. 16/5. 17-5-21 D. No. 18/5. D. No. 21/5.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2411 1411

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cs File No. 99 of 1921-22.

Serial No.	
53	Submitted with 2 drafts with reference to para 48 above. 27/5/21 54 D.M. 30/5 To the Registrar Chief Court No G. 23371 dt 3-6-1921 Requests him to state the number and date of the G.O. under which the temporary establishment sanctioned for the Sunker Munsiffs court in G.O. No G 2241 3-14 dt 2-18-14 / dt 3-6-21 was continued after 24.5.20
6	To the comptroller to report. No G. 23372 dt 3-6-1921 States that the temporary estt which has ceased to exist from 24.5.20 is shown in the column of existing scale and it does not seem clear why the estt is being continued and their pay disbursed.
7	From The comptroller Letter no 1006/TADC dt 11.6.1921 States that it was thought that no reduction of establishment was contemplated as the G.O of 21st May 1920 sanctioned the Registrars recommendation to continue the court for 2 years from 25th May 1920.

T. ... 21
O Fin. ... 14 JUN 1921

Sust 14/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

25989
26037
26359

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/5 File No. 99 of 19 20-21

Serial No.	
	From
8	The Comptroller.
	Letter no. 7800 C 1013 dt 14.6.1921
	Solicits instruction as to whether the pay and allowances of the establishment of the Second Munsiff's court Tenkasi may be continued to be disbursed pending the decision of the question now raised by him.
9	From —
	The Registrar Civil Court.
	Letter no Roc 1800 dt 15.6.1920
	States that it was thought that the establishment should continue until the continuance of the temporary Court, and no separate reference for the establishment was made and if separate reference is necessary requests orders for the continuance of the temporary establishment during the continuance of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tenkasi.
55	Submitted with a draft for orders
	B/
	28/6/21 +56 Draft-cy-c-submd for appt
	D.M. 1/7
	Recd 1.7.21
	2nd Member
	61/6.7.21.
57	Appd
	D.M. 2/7
58	Issued 7.7.21
	D.M. 7/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 99 of 1925

Serial No.	
10	To the Comptroller to Govt the Registrar Cch Court No. J 134-35 dt 9.4.1921 Sanctions the continuance of the establishment as long as the Insolvency Court at Guntur is continued

IUL 1921

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1/6 7224
1920-1924

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. Books 513. & Letter and proposition statement in duplicate

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 27th September 1920.

28 SEP 1920

FROM

T. Satyaraj Chetty Esq., B.A.,
M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Offg. Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, ESQ., B.A.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT III PROTECTION 29 SEP 1920

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith duly verified the proposition statement in duplicate relating to the revision of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur together with letter No. R.O.C.No.180/20-21 dated 6th/13th September 1920 addressed to you by the Registrar of the Chief Court in Mysore.

As the balance under the group "Protection and Army" had to be made good by additional grants to keep it to its minimum, there is no margin whatever for any additional expenditure, either recurring or non-recurring.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Satyaraj Chetty
Comptroller.

SAM.

WD.0922—GPB—3,000—14-12-16

C. O. 42-Rec.—17]

....Department

191 .

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,

Offg. Comptroller to the Govt. of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.....

Subject matter.

B/881/19

C 610

Bangalore,

Dated 6th September 1920.

13

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esq.,B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Through

T. Satyaraj Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

Adverting to the Proceedings of the Government No.G.26312-3/Cts.149-19-3 dated the 21st May 1920, according sanction for the continuance of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur on a temporary footing for a further period of two years from 25th May 1920, I am directed to state that the institutions of civil cases have been steadily on the increase in the two Munsiffs' Courts at Tumkur from 1914-15 when the second court was temporarily established there. It will be seen from the enclosed statement A that in spite of the satisfactory out-turn of work by the two courts for the last five years there is not any indication of the volume of work being reduced; on the other hand, the statisti furnished clearly establish the necessity for an addi-

tional

tional permanent court in that District. The present arrangement under which every alternate suit filed in the Tumkur Munsiff's Court is passed on for disposal to the temporary court of the Second Munsiff and a single office with a few temporary hands has to cope with the work of the two courts, cannot be continued as a permanent measure, if efficiency has to be maintained. The District Judge, Bangalore, has strongly urged the retention of the additional court on a permanent basis and the allotment to it of a separate territorial jurisdiction. The Judges agree with him and consider that the jurisdiction of the Tumkur Munsiff's Court should be distributed between the two munsiffs so as to equalise their work as far as possible and that the second court should be made permanent.

Letter No.2692/318 Dated 8th January 1920. Copy enclosed.

2. The enclosed statement B shows the institutions of original suits and small causes from each of the taluks comprising the territorial jurisdiction of the Tumkur Munsiff's Court for the last five years. It will be seen therefrom that, on an average the

	O.S.		S.C.
Tumkur Taluk contributes	553	plus	401
Tiptur	375	"	157
Gubbi	307	"	169
KUNIGAL	242	"	103
Chikmaikanhalli	170	"	84
& Turuvekere	94	"	40.

The

The District Judge proposes that the first court may have jurisdiction over Tumkur, Kunigal and Gubbi Taluks and the second court over Tiptur, Chiknaikanhalli and Turuvekere Taluks. This arrangement however makes the work of the first court nearly twice as much as that of the second court. The Judges therefore consider that 4 out of the 8 hoblies of the Gubbi Taluks should be tacked on to the Second Court. Thus the territorial jurisdiction of the first court would be over the taluks of Tumkur, Kunigal and the hoblies of Chitnahalli, Kallur Kadaba and Gubbi of the Gubbi Taluk; and that of the second court over the taluks of Tiptur, Chikanaikanhalli, Turuvekere and the hoblies of Dandinasvara, Nittoor, Hagalvadi and Chelur of the Gubbi Taluk.

	O.S.		S.C.
Tumkur ...	553	plus	401
Kunigal	242	"	103
Gubbi ,..	307	"	169
	1102	"	673
	1775.		
Tiptur...	375	plus	157
Chiknaikanhalli..	170	"	84
Turuvekere	94	"	40
	639	"	281
	920.		

3. The District Judge gives reasons for locating the second court permanently at Tumkur as at present, and the Judges agree with his proposal. The alternative is to construct a court house at Tiptur, but there appears to be no great advantage and some disadvantages in the adoption of that alternative.

4. I am to state that the Judges consider that the two Munsiffs' Courts may be established as second class courts with 11 hands in the court establishment and 24 and 22 hands respectively for the process establishment of each court, the present Head Munshi and the Process Nazir being allowed a personal allowance of Rs 10 & 5 respectively until absorbed in other vacancies. A proposition statement in duplicate is accordingly enclosed showing the establishment that would

would be required and the net annual cost that would be entailed viz., Rs 795/- for the court establishment and Rs300/- for the process establishment.

5. The contingent grant of Rs5/- per mensem sanctioned to the second court in G.O.No.J.3023-6/ Cts.158-14-2 dated the 6th March 1915 is inadequate and an annual contingent grant of Rs200/- for the court establishment and Rs35/- for the process establishment and a permanent advance of Rs15/- each for the court establishment and the process nazir with an additional permanent advance of Rs200/- for the process nazir and a lump sum of Rs 1000/- for furniture have also to be sanctioned. The present permanent advance of the process nazir viz., Rs500/- sanctioned in G.O.No.G.7630-1/Cts.2-18-4 dated the 25th October 1918 and the security required of the process nazir may both be reduced to Rs 300/-.

6. The second munsiff will exercise the usual jurisdiction viz., original jurisdiction up to Rs2500/- and small cause jurisdiction upto Rs50/- with jurisdiction under the Succession Certificate and the Insolvency Regulations in cases which involve amounts not exceeding his pecuniary jurisdiction.

7. I am to request that the Government may be pleased to approve of the above arrangements and sanction them with effect from 1st July 1921.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

A.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF ORIGINAL SUITS AND SMALL CAUSES INSTITUTED, DISPOSED OF AND PENDING IN THE COURTS OF THE MUNSIFFS AT TUMKUR DURING THE SIX YEARS FROM 1914-15 to 1919-1920.

Year.	Original.			Small Cause.			Remarks.
	Instituted.	Disposed of	Pending	Instituted	Disposed of	Pending	
1914-15.							
I Court.	1102	997	558	606	581	133	
	1199	1066	1128	686	625	270	
II Court.	97	69	570	80	44	137	
1915-16							
I Court.	690	764	559	388	424	118	
	1380	1516	1075	775	825	247	
II Court.	690	752	516	387	401	129	
1916-17.							
I Court.	813	824	595	412	429	114	
	1625	1690	1084	824	868	224	
II Court.	812	866	489	412	439	110	
1917-18.							
I Court.	735	855	507	371	414	77	
	1467	1668	940	742	796	183	
II Court.	732	813	433	371	382	106	
1918-19.							
I Court.	891	809	628	533	467	151	
	1779	1626	1154	1066	970	293	
II Court.	888	817	526	533	503	142	
1919-20.							
I Court.	1247	1043	866	687	604	245	
	2482	2045	1670	1374	1199	485	
II Court.	1235	1002	804	687	595	240	

Examiner.

STATEMENT SHOWING NUMBER OF ORIGINAL AND SMALL CAUSE SUITS FILED IN EACH OF THE TALUKS COMPRISED WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF OF TUMKUR from 1915-16 to 1919-20.

Year.	Total No. of cases instituted.	Original Suits. Taluks.						Toal No. of cases instituted.	Small Cause Suits. Taluks.						Remarks.
		Tumkur	Gubbi.	Kunigal.	Turuvekere.	Tiptur	Chiknayakanhalli		Tumkur.	Gubbi.	Kunigal	Turuvekere.	Tiptur.	Chiknayakanahalli.	
1915-16.	1380	424	249	177	93	278	159	775	319	144	61	26	136	89	
1916-17.	1625	505	253	215	98	402	152	824	345	137	68	29	173	72	
1917-18.	1455	512	223	160	68	397	95	742	311	132	89	33	113	64	
1918-19.	1773	540	332	302	78	326	195	1066	487	192	93	49	159	86	
1919-20.	2482	787	481	358	133	473	250	1374	545	244	206	64	206	109	

Tumkur
6th August 1920.

Sd/- C. Abdul Ghani,
First Munsiff.

"C O P Y"

Srinivasa

Registrar.

Tks.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITIO

Name of Office to which the

Government Orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale			
				Pay						Pay	
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
									COURT ESTABLISHMENT.			
			Munsiff's Court, Tumkur.						First Munsiff's Court,			
			Permanent.						Permanent.			
G.O.No.469-70/Cts.270-12-3, Dated 5-8-1915.		1	Head Munshi	-	-	-	50	1	Head Munshi	-	-	-
		1	Dy. Munshi	-	-	-	35	1	Dy. Munshi	-	-	-
		1	Court Nazir	25	-1	30	28¾	1	Court Nazir	-	-	-
		4	Munshis on Rs 25/-each	-	-	-	100	4	Munshis on Rs 25/- each	-	-	-
		5	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-	100	3	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-
G.O.No.G. 21571-2/Cts. 165-18-7,D/ 19-3-1920.		1	Clerk	-	-	-	20	1	Clerk	-	-	-
		1	Daffedar	-	-	-	8	1	Daffedar	-	-	-
		1	Peon	-	-	-	7	1	Peon	-	-	-
		1	Gollar	-	-	-	7	1	Gollar	-	-	-
		1	Sweeper	-	-	-	6	1	Sweeper	-	-	-
		17					361¼					
			Temporary.						Temporary.			
NO.J.3023-6/Cts. 158-14-2 Dated 6-3-1915.		1	Clerk	-	-	-	35	1	Gardner	-	-	-
								16				
NO.J.236-7/Cts. 269-15-4 dated 15-7-1916		3	Munshis on Rs 25/- each	-	-	-	75					
No.G.7630-1/Cts. 2-18-4 dated 25-10-1918		3	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-	60		Second Munsiff's Court, T			
No.G.22413-14/ Cts.2-18-7 D/ 3-4-1919.		1	Daffedar	-	-	-	8	1	Head Munshi	-	-	-
		1	Dalayet	-	-	-	7	1	Dy.Munshi	-	-	-

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sho

ıancial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

THE
XXXXXXXXX/ESTABLISHMENT OF A PERMANENT

ı relates.....Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur.

GPB—4,000 -2-6-11

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
						Vide Letter No. R.O.C.180/1920-21 dated 6th September 1920.				

:age
st

r.

0
0
8
7
7
5

r. Permanent.

e followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

e and
its Form 11 }
Form 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITIC

Name of Office to which the

Government Orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale				
				Pay					Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
		1	Gollar	-	-	-	7	1	Court Nazir	-	-	-
		1	Ferash	-	-	-	6	4	Munshis on Rs 25/- each	-	-	-
G.O.NO.2732-3/Cts.378-14-6 D/ ~~18-1-18~~ 13-1-16.		1	Gardner	-	-	-	7	3	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-
		12					205	1	Clerk	-	-	-
								1	Daffedar	-	-	-
								1	Peon	-	-	-
								1	Gollar	-	-	-
								1	Sweeper	-	-	-
								15				
Total		29					566¾	31				
			Munsiff's Court, Tumkur permanent.									Pr
												First Munsiff
G.O.469-70/Cts 270-13-3 dated 5-8-15.		1	Process Nazir	-	-	-	35	1	Process Nazir	-	-	-
		2	Amins on Rs 18/- each	-	-	-	36	1	Amin on Rs 18.	-	-	-
G.O.J.2364-5/Cts 97-16-2 dated 5-1-1917.		6	Amins on Rs15 each.	-	-	-	90	5	Amins on Rs15 each	-	-	-
G.O.J.434-5/Cts.260-13-3 D/-14-8-14.		5	Process servers on Rs 10 each	-	-	-	50	3	Process servers on Rs 10/- each	-	-	-
No.2823-6/Cts 267-135 dated 30-3-14.		18	Process servers on Rs8/- each	-	-	-	144	14	Process servers on Rs8/- each	-	-	-
		32					355	24				

File not available

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sh

of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

FOR ~~REXXSXXXXX~~ THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PERMANENT

Proposition relates....Second Munsiff's Court, at Tumkur.

GPB—4,000 -2-6-11

Average Cost	Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Permanent: Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Orders
30											
100											
60											
20											
8											
7											
7											
6											
313	313					205					
633	66¼ or 795 Rupees per annum. Net excess charge for court establishment.										

ess Establishment.

Court, TUMKUR, permanent.

30											
18											
75											
30											
112											
265		90									

d be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

27

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the

Government Orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale			
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			Temporary.						Second Munsiff's Court, Tur			
G0.3578-9/Cts. 160-15-2 dated 3-3-1916.		4	Amins on Rs15 each.	-	-	-	60	1	Process Nazir	-	-	-
G.O.NO.G.7630-1/Cts.2-18-4 dated 25-10-18		9	Process servers on Rs8/- each.	-	-	-	72	1	Amin on Rs18/-	-	-	-
								5	Amins on Rs15 each	-	-	-
		13					132					
								2	Process servers on Rs10/- each.	-	-	-
								13	Process servers on Rs8 each.	-	-	-
								22				
Total		45	-	-	-	-	487	46	-	-	-	-

Leaving out of consideration the cost of the existing tempo
the net excess charge for both the court and process es

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore,

Dated 6th September 1920.

Verified and found correct.

Accountant/Comptroller

Tks.

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and shou

of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

FOR ~~EXTENSION OF~~ THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PERMANENT

oposition relates.... SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT AT TUMKUR.

GPB—4,000 -2-6-11

Average Cost	Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Permanent: Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Orders
kur. Permanent.											
30											
18											
75											
20											
104											
247	247					132					
512	25 or 300 Rupees per annum. Net excess charge for the process establishment.										

ary court and process establishments,
ablishments will be Rs 5055 per annum.

R Srinivasan

Registrar.

d be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter I

Fm[illegible]/200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the I

Government Orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
									COURT ESTABLISHMENT.			
			Munsiff's Court, Tumkur.						First Munsiff's Court, T			
			Permanent.						Permanent.			
G.O.No.469-70/Cts.270-13-3, Dated 5-8-1915.		1	Head Munshi	-	-	-	50	1	Head Munshi	-	-	-
		1	Dy. Munshi	-	-	-	35	1	Dy. Munshi	-	-	-
		1	Court Nazir	25	-1	30	28¾	1	Court Nazir	-	-	-
		4	Munshis on Rs 25/-each	-	-	-	100	4	Munshis on Rs 25/- each	-	-	-
		5	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-	100	3	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-
G.O.No.G. 1571-2/Cts. 55-18-7,D/ 9-3-1920.		1	Clerk	-	-	-	20	1	Clerk	-	-	-
		1	Daffedar	-	-	-	8	1	Daffedar	-	-	-
		1	Peon	-	-	-	7	1	Peon	-	-	-
		1	Gollar	-	-	-	7	1	Gollar	-	-	-
		1	Sweeper	-	-	-	6	1	Sweeper	-	-	-
		17					361¾					
			Temporary.						Temporary.			
G.O.J.3023-6/Cts. 58-14-2 Dated 6-3-1915.		1	Clerk	-	-	-	35	1	Gardner	-	-	-
								16				
G.O.J.236-7/Cts. 269-15-4 dated 13-7-1916		3	Munshis on Rs 25/- each	-	-	-	75	15				
No.G.7630-1/Cts. 2-18-4 dated 25-10-1918		3	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-	60	15	Second Munsiff's Court, T			
No.G.22413-14/ Cts.2-18-7 D/ 3-4-1919.		1	Daffedar	-	-	-	8	1	Head Munshi	-	-	-
		1	Dalayet	-	-	-	7	1	Dy.Munshi	-	-	-

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and shou

of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PERMANENT

oposition relates.....SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT AT TUMKUR.

GPB—4,000 -2-6-11

Average Cost	Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
umkur.											
40											
35											
30											
100											
60											
20											
8											
7											
7											
6							Vide Letter No. R.O.C.180/1920-21 dated 6th September 1920.				
7											
320											
kur.	Permanent.										
40											
35											

be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the

Government Orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale				
				Pay					Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
		1	Gollar	-	-	-	7	1	Court Nazir	-	-	-
		1	Ferash	-	-	-	6	4	Munshis on Rs 25/- each	-	-	-
G.O.NO.2732-3/ Cts.378-14-6 D/ 18-1-18.		1	Gardner	-	-	-	7	3	Gumastas on Rs 20/- each	-	-	-
		12					205	1	Clerk	-	-	-
								1	Daffedar	-	-	-
								1	Peon	-	-	-
								1	Gollar	-	-	-
								1	Sweeper	-	-	-
								15				
Total		29					566¾	31				
			Munsiff's Court, Tumkur permanent.									
												First Munsiff'
C.O.469-70/Cts 270-13-3 dated 5-8-15.		1	Process Nazir	-	-	-	35	1	Process Nazir	-	-	-
		2	Amins on Rs 18/- each	-	-	-	36	1	Amin on Rs 18.	-	-	-
G.O.J.2364-5/ 9-16-2 dated 5-1-1917.		6	Amins on Rs 15 each.	-	-	-	90	5	Amins on Rs 15 each	-	-	-
G.O.J.434-5/ Cts.260-13-3 L/-14-8-14.		5	Process servers on Rs 10 each	-	-	-	50	3	Process servers on Rs 10/- each	-	-	-
No.2823-6/Cts 267-135 dated 30-3-14.		18	Process servers on Rs 8/- each	-	-	-	144	14	Process servers on Rs 8/- each	-	-	-
		32					355	24				

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and sho

I of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

FOR REVISION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PERMANENT

roposition relates... Second Munsiff's Court, at Tumkur.

GPB—4,000 -2-6-11

verage Cost	Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Permanent: Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Amount	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Period	Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department: Orders
30											
100											
60											
20											
8											
7											
7											
6											
313											
633	66¼ or 795 Rupees per annum.						Net excess charge for court establishment.				

ess Establishment.

Court, TUMKUR, permanent.

30											
18											
75											
30											
112	4 2										
265											

l be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

RS

30

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Fin. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the

Government Orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale				
				Pay					Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			Temporary.						Second Munsiff's Court, Tu			
G.O.3578-9/Cts. 160-15-2 dated 3-3-1916.		4	Amins on Rs15 each.	-	-	-	60	1	Process Nazir	-	-	-
		9	Process servers on Rs8/- each.	-	-	-	72	1	Amin on Rs18/-	-	-	-
C.O.G.O.G.7630-1/Cts.2-18-4 dated 25-10-18								5	Amins on Rs15 each	-	-	-
		13					132					
								2	Process servers on Rs10/- each.	-	-	-
								13	Process servers on Rs8 each.	-	-	-
								22				
Total		45	-	-	-	-	487	46	-	-	-	-

Leaving out of consideration the cost of the existing temp
the net excess charge for both the court and process e

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore,

Dated 6th September 1920.

Verified and found correct.

Tks.

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and shou

of the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PERMANENT

Proposition relates..... SECOND MUNSIFF'S COURT AT TUMKUR.

GPB—4,000 -2-6-11

Average Cost	Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
ur. Permanent.											
30											
18											
75											
20											
104											
247											
512	25 or 300 Rupees per annum. Net excess charge for the process establishment.										
ary court and process establishments, ablishments will be Rs 5055 per annum.											

E Subbarao

Registrar.

l be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Letter No.2692/318 dated 8/9th January 1920 from the District Judge, Bangalore Division, Bangalore to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,Bangalore.

Adverting to Government Proceedings No.J.4131-2/ Cts.157-17-4 dated 9th April 1918 communicated with your R.O.C.No.722/17-18 dated 11th April 1918 ordering the continuance of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur for a further period of two years from 24th May 1918, I have the honour to submit a statement "A" from which it will be seen that pendency h[redacted]ncreased in the two courts at Tumkur by 256 a[redacted]mpared with the pending file when the second cour[redacted] as started.

The file could not kept down owing to heavy institution of Original Suits viz.1846 during the year 1918-19, notwithstanding that the two munsiffs have tried their level best to cope with the increasing work.

It is thus clear that one munsiff will not be able to cope with the work and the continuance of a second court is absolutely necessary. As a trial for the last four and half years shows that there is no tendency for the work going down, I consider the establishment from 24th May 1920 of a second court on a permanent basis is imperative when the existing temporary court should cease.

If the Chief Court should consider this proposal reasonable, an allotment of separate territorial jurisdiction for each court is also necessary. I have studied

studied the convenience of the litigant public and that of the two courts which have to work under the new conditions.

The Taluks of Kunigal, Tumkur and Gubbi might go to the First Munsiff and Tiptur, Turuvekere, and Chiknayakanahalli to the Second Munsiff. If the Taluks of Tumkur, Gubbi, Turuvekere and Koratagere are reconstituted in the manner indicated on pages 89 and 90 of the Mysore Gazette dated 14th February 1918, that readjustment will equalize the work of the two munsiffs at Tumkur besides giving sufficient work to the Munsiff's Court at Maddagiri.

I have consulted with Munsiff Mr. Abdul Ghanni and he tells me that the Taluks of Tumkur and Gubbi contribute to the number and Kunigal contributes heavy contested work, so that a munsiff will have quite enough of work to do with those 3 taluks.

The Taluks of Chiknayakanahalli and Turuvekere are likely to give good contested suits to the second munsiff while Tiptur will give him a sufficient number of cases of all kinds.

As regards the cases pending now in each court, they may be left where they are to avoid inconvenience and confusion of work.

The next point to be considered would be whether both the courts should be located at Tumkur as at present or the second court be located at Tiptur. To leave the courts where they are at present would tend to the convenience of the litigants, the members of the Bar and also to the presiding offi-

cers.

cers. The litigants have the benefit of legal advice, better here, than they would have at Tiptur where only such of the practitioners as have little or no work here would move. The litigants are accustomed to their permanent legal advisers who may not be available at Tiptur whenever they might want them there. Parties and witnesses have good hotels and resting places at Tumkur and such conveniences they may not be able to command at Tiptur with the same degree of facility. Litigants who go to Tumkur can also attend to their work in revenue matters as all the offices of the Assistant Commissioners and the Deputy Commissioner are located in the District Headquarters.

In Tiptur people live out of the town during epidemics in the major part of the year which is not quite so at Tumkur where the town is growing all round with new extensions.

Houses are available for officials and officers at Tumkur whereas there is no such convenience at Tiptur. A new court building is a desideratum at Tiptur, whereas the addition by and by of a two storied building with spacious halls will be quite sufficient at Tumkur and the present building may be utilized for the offices of both the courts. This perhaps will result in a saving to the Government.

The present court and process establishment is shown in the enclosed statement B and C and with the addition of a few more hands as shown therein, it may be possible for the two courts being started independently. The First Munsiff's Court may continue as a first

class

class court and the second court in the second class with the same existing establishments as at Bangalore.

If these recommendations are approved by the Judges, I request a reference may be made to the Government early for the establishment of the Second Munsiff's Court permanently so that the cost of the new court may be included in the budget for 1920-21 which is under preparation.

Sd/- N. T. Gopaliengar,
District Judge.

C o p y

E Srinivasaiyer

R e g i s t r a r.

TKS.

A.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF CASES PENDING ON 25-5-1915 AND THE NUMBER SUBSEQUENTLY FILED, DISPOSED OF &c. IN THE TWO COURTS AT TUMKUR.

Name of court and nature of suits.	Pending on 25-5-15.	Filed in 15-16.	Disposed of in 15-16.	Pending on 1-7-16.	Filed in 16--17.	Disposed of in 16-17.	Pending on 1-7-17	Filed in 17-18.	Disposed of in 17-18.	Pending on 1-7-18	Filed in 18-19.	Disposed/in of 18-19	Pending on 1-7-19	Filed in 19-20 till the end of December 1919.	Disposed of in 19-20 till the end of December 1919	Pending on 1-1-1920.	Pending Cases. 16-17.	17-18.	18-19.	19-20.	Pending on 25-5-1918.	REMARKS.
Original.																						
I Court.	536	787	764	559	860	824	595	767	858	504	933	809	628	668	585	711	106	81	78	446	559	
II Court.	547	787	818	516	839	866	489	757	816	430	913	817	526	669	567	628	51	49	163	365	454	
Small Cause.																						
I Court.	93	467	432	118	425	429	114	377	414	77	533	459	151	364	351	164	2	1	32	129	76	
II Court.	101	467	439	129	420	439	110	378	382	106	533	497	142	367	318	191	2	2	22	165	101	
Miscellaneous.																						
I Court.	234	375	424	185	400	409	176	394	398	172	334	347	159	179	160	178	2	16	46	114	169	
II Court	--	67	39	36	85	89	32	79	69	42	193	150	85	108	95	98	-	2	25	71	34	
Execution.																						
I Court.	913	2141	1984	1070	2326	2411	985	2351	2389	947	1475	1829	593	911	865	639	2	7	95	535	985	
II Court.	--	--	--	--	--	--	-	1	--	-	1287	899	388	676	550	514	-	-	89	425	1	
Insolvency.																						
I Court.	8	12	13	7	9	12	4	10	7	7	11	11	7	7	7	7	-	-	3	4	7	

District Court, Bangalore,
Dated Camp Tumkur, 8--1--1920.

Sd/- N.T.Gopaliengar,
District Judge.

COPY

Registrar.

Tks.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE PRESENT PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT OF THE FIRST MUNSIFF'S COURT TUMKUR AND THE PROPOSED PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT OF THE NEW COURT.

Designation.	Present permanent establishment of the 1st Court.		Proposed permanent establishment of the second court.		Remarks.
	Pay of each.	Number.	Pay of each	Number.	
Office Establishment.					
Head Munshi.	50	1	40	1	
Deputy Munshi.	35	1	35	1	
Court Nazir	30	1	30	1	Fixed pay is proposed as required under the present rules.
Munshis.	25	4	25	3	
Gumasthas.	20	5	20	4*	
Apprentice Clerk.	15	1	15	1	
Process Nazir.	35	1	30	1	
Total		14		12*	*One hand in excess of the present establishment of the 2nd Munsiff's Court Bangalore.
Menial Establishment.					
Daffedar	8	1	8	1	
Gollar	7	1	7	1	
Dalayet	7	1	7	1	
Sweeper	6	1	6	1	
Total		4		4	

District Court, Bangalore,
Dated, Camp Tumkur, 8-1-1920

Sd/- N.T.Gopaliengar,
District Judge.

"C O P Y"

Sd/- Ramdas
REGISTRAR.

TKS.

C.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE PRESENT PERMANENT PROCESS ESTABLISHMENT AND THE PROPOSED STRENGTH OF THE PROCESS ESTABLISHMENTS OF THE TWO COURTS, TUMKUR.

Present permanent establishment.				Proposed permanent establishment of the 1st Court.				Proposed permanent establishment of the new Court.				Remarks.
Amins.		Process Peons.		Amins.		Process peons.		Amins.		Process Peons.		
I Grade.	II Grade.	I Grade.	II Grade.	I Grade.	II Grade.	I Grade.	II Grade.	I Grade.	II Grade.	I Grade	II Grade.	
2	6	5	18	1	4	3	12	1	4	3	12	

District Court, Bangalore,
Dated, Camp Tumkur 8-1-1920.

Sd/- N.T. Gopaliengar,
District Judge.

COPY

Registrar.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 1/4/21

Signed by Mr. P.M.

Section D to

File No. 99-2.

Serial No. 2

No. 182460

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. R&C 180/20 dt. 6/13 Sept. 1920 regarding the redistribution of territorial the ~~Jurisdiction~~ of the Lusei Minor Courts at Tuikuai and the revision of the establishment of the Second Minor

over.

39

Court, I am directed to enquire ~~to~~ whether Govt. propose to approve of the redistribution of jurisdiction. And, if so, whether they also propose to sanction a temporary additional establishment of two Hindu Translators for the purpose of carrying out the extra work entailed by such redistribution. If no funds can be provided in the next year's budget to meet the cost of the extra establishment, I am directed to enquire whether the same can be met by reduction under any other head

Yours etc

for Secy to Govt

Copied by

Examined by

List of enclosures.

DIARY No 2141

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 8th April 1921.

From

G. Sreenivasa Iver, Esqr., B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

K. Matthan, Esqr., B.A.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the Government of
Mysore. Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.G.18460/Cts.99-20-2 dated the 1st April 1921, enquiring whether the cost of the additional establishment proposed for the Second Munsiff's Court, Tumkur, on a permanent basis, can be met out of the existing budget grants by reduction under some other head, I am directed to state that within the strictly narrow limits of the Budget of the Judicial Department as framed it is not possible to indicate any head under which the grant can be reduced or saved in order to meet the additional expenditure.

The additional recurring expenditure involved is comparatively a modest sum, namely Rs.91¼ per mensem for both the court and process establishments, in addition to the usual annual contingent grant of Rs.235/- and a non-recurring expenditure of Rs.1000/- for furniture. In these circumstances the Judges hope that there may not be much difficulty in providing the additional funds required. Otherwise, I am to add that the continuance of the Second

Munsiff's

Munsiff's Court has to be sanctioned on the present temporary basis till such time as the Government can find funds to meet the said additional expenditure.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

PKS

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 21411

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 23/5

Signed by Mr Bru

Section Cts
File No. 99 20
Serial No 4

No. 22254

To The Registrar Lehiq Court.

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no Roc 180 dt 9-4-1921 submitting proposals for the making the Lucond Munsiffs Court at Trunkeer permanent ~~and~~ together with a comparative Statement ~~for~~ in the matter and for defining the jurisdiction of the two Courts I am directed to state that ~~you are of opinion that~~ on account of financial Considerations ~~stringency~~, the question of the separate

over.

of the jurisdiction of the two municipal Courts may be deferred till next year.

I am to add that the Proposals may be renewed three months before the expiry of the term of the Temporary municipal Court at Tumkur.

I have etc

D. M. 16/5

17.5.21

For Secy

SGR

21/5/21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 7411

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

Date of issue 3/6

Signed by Mr Mu

Section

File No.

Serial No. 5

No. G 2397/

To The Registrar Chief Court

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter no G 22234/Ch 99-20-G dt 23rd May 1921. I am directed to ~~enquire~~ report you to kindly state the circumstances under which the temporary establishment sanctioned for the Jemadar Munsiffs Court in G.O no G 22413-14/Ch 2-18-7 dt 3-4-1919 was continued after the 24th May 1920 ~~during which~~ term it has been sanctioned.

2- I am to add

Sd/

request you to kindly state the no: & date of the G.O. referred,

7

26312-3/Ots 149-19-3 & 21-5-1920 J

Communicate only the Record Municipal court at Trunkwa ~~Cantonment~~ on a temporary footing for a further period of 2 years from 25th May 1920 and note the establishment referred to

~~An early reply is requested~~ I have etc

[illegible]
30/5/1
for Secy

8/
27/5/21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2144

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 2/6

Signed by

7

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

The comptroller to grant

Grant directed to quote reference

~~your attention~~ D.O. No. &

2413-14/Ch 2-18-7 & 3-4-15

sanctioning the continuance of the

temporary establishment sanctioned

D.O. No. & 7630-1/Ch 2-18-5 &

25-10-1918 till the end of 24th

May 1920. In your letter the

pensation statement sent with

your letter no Book 513 & 27-9-5

over.

the temporary establishment which has ceased to exist from 24th May 1920 is shown in the column of existing scale. I am to enquire under what authority this establishment has been continued and what pay being met.

I am to add that under G.O. No 6 of 26312-3/Ch 149-15-38 of 21-5-15,40 it was only the Second Municipal Court at Tuticorin that was continued in a temporary footing for a further period of two years from 25th May 1920 and not the establishment referred to.

An early reply is requested.

I have &c.

for Secretary

27/5/21

He does not seem to be clear why the establishment being continued & how their pay is being met & disbursed

List of enclosu

Copied by

Examined by

25989 48

02929

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comm. please refer to
No. 1006/TAD C

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 11th June 1921.

FROM

T. Satyaraj Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,~~
~~Offg.~~ *Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

B. Ramaswamayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.23372/Cts.99-20-6 dated 3rd June 1921 enquiring why the establishment of Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur sanctioned in Government Order No.G.7630-1/Cts.2-18-4 dated 25th October 1918 is being still continued, I have the honor to state as follows.

In his letter No.Roc.811 dated 7th February 1919 to Government, the Registrar, Chief Court, recommended the continuance of both the temporary establishments till 24th May 1920, and this was sanctioned by Government in Government Order dated 3rd April 1919. Again, in his letter No.Roc.735 dated 17th February 1920, the Registrar recommended that the said court may be continued for two years from 25th May 1920, and as the Government Order No.G.26312-3/Cts.149-19-3 dated 21st May 1920, sanctioning the Registrar's recommendation did not specify the particular establishment for which sanction was accorded, it was construed that the whole establishment was sanctioned, since both were temporary. In view of the fact that the question of making the whole establishment of the court permanent had been raised by the Chief Court and was under consideration of Government (vide

para

WD.0922—GPB—3,000—14-12-16

C. O. 42–Rec.—17]

....Department

191

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,

Offg. Comptroller to the Govt. of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....Esq.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.....

Subject matter.

para 2 of preamble to Government Order dated 21st May 1920) it was thought that no reduction of existing staff was contemplated.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Lobyntsev Chief.

Comptroller.

MSR/11-6

03180

26034

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. TAD.C.1013.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

99-20

Dated, Bangalore, the 14th June 1921.

GEN & REV. SECTT.
15 JUN 1921

FROM

T.Satyaraj Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,~~
~~Offg.~~ *Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

.....B....Ramaswamayya....., ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
.....Revenue.....*Department.*

SIR,

In continuation of this office No.1006/TAD.C. dated 11th instant, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to intimate whether the pay and allowances of the establishment of the II Munsiff's Court, Tumkur may be continued to be disbursed pending settlement of the question now raised by Government that the temporary additional staff sanctioned in Government Order No.G.7630-1/C.52-18-5 dated 25-10-18 ought to have ceased with effect from 24th May 1920.

The favour of an early reply is solicited.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asstt: Comptroller.

U.

WD.0922—GPB—3,000—14-12-16

C. O. 42-Rec.—17]

....Department

191

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,

Offg. Comptroller to the Govt. of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.....

Subject matter.

4409 R.C.C. 180 20-21 26339 57

EN & REV. SCOTT.

18 JUN 1921

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 15th June 1921.

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esq., B.A., M.L.,

Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

20 JUN 1921

S i r,

Adverting to your letter No.G.23371/Cts.99-205 dated the 3rd June 1921, requesting to state the number and date of the Government Order under which the temporary establishment sanctioned for the Tumkur Munsiff's Court in Government Order No.G.22413-14/Cts.2-18-7 dated 3rd April 1919 was continued after the 24th May 1920, I am directed to state that there is no specific express order of the Government for the continuance of the establishment in question; but as the establishment was required for the temporary court, which is ordered by Government to continue, it was thought that the establishment had also to continue and therefore no separate reference was made to --- Government in the matter

2. But if a specific order of Government is considered necessary, I am to request that the Government may now be pleased to sanction that it be continued from 24th May 1920, during the continuance of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

G Srinivasa Iyer

R e g i s t r a r.

TMN/

G.R.S. 28]

117

Diary No. of letter replied to 25989 26037 ~~26339~~

Cts File No. 99-20
Serial No. 16

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

the 191 .

Date of issue 9/7

Signed by M Pm

READ—

G.O. no. G 22413-14/Cts 2-18-7d 3-4-1919 sanctioning the continuance of the additional staff of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur till the 24th May 1920 along with the Court.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

F

2. G.O. no. G 26312-13/Cts 149-19-3 G 21-5-1920 sanctioning the continuance of the Second Munsiff's Court at Tumkur on a temporary footing for a further period of two years from 25th May 1920

J

P.T. over.

SUBJECT.

P 8/7

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

3. Letter no 1006/TADC dt 11.6.1924
from the Comptroller to govt Stating that
the pay of the additional staff for the second
temporary municipl's court at Tuticorin, subsequent to 24.5.1920
was disbursed on the assumption
that the establishment too was
Continued along with the Municipl's
Court under the Govt
Court proper order of the 21st may
1920 and soliciting sanction
as to whether the pay of the staff
may be Continued to be
disbursed or not
4. Letter no Roc 180 dt 15.6.1924
from the Registrar Chief Court,
Stating that no separate reference
was made in the matter of the
Continuance of the temporary staff
for the second municipl's Court as it
was thought that the Continuance of the
Court meant also the Continuance of the
temporary establishment attached to it
and requesting sanction for the
Continuance of the temporary establishment
as long as the second Municipl's court
is continued.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Re proposal of the chief Court in Gauetiaad.

order J 1384-5

Observe that it was Govt desire to punish the irregularity to have sanctioned the pay of the temporary staff referred to ~~the the temporary~~ Munsiff's Court at Tezpur without the express sanction of the Govt. intervention and They are now pleased to sanction the continuance of the establishment with effect from the date of its termination ie from 25th May 1920 as long as the Munsiff's Court at Tezpur is continued

1.7.21
Rev Secy

D. M.
1/7
20

The comptroller
& Registrar Chief Court with a
C. L.

25/6/21